



## **Stockwood and Hengrove and Whitchurch Park Neighbourhood Partnership Agenda**

**Date:** Wednesday, 28 September 2016  
**Time:** 7.00 pm - 9.00 pm  
**Place:** Counterslip Church, Wells Road, BS14 9HT

- 1. Chairing Arrangements**
- 2. Welcome, introductions and safety information**
- 3. Apologies for absence**
- 4. Declarations of Interest**  
To note any interests relevant to the consideration of items on this agenda.
- 5. Minutes of 15th June 2016 (Pages 6 - 11)**  
To agree the minutes of the last meeting as a correct record.
- 6. Matters arising including Action Sheet**
- 7. Public Forum**  
Written statements must be received by no later than 12.00 noon on Tuesday 27<sup>th</sup> September 2016.
- 8. South Bristol Housing Zone (inc Hengrove Park Phase 2) Information** 7.20 pm  
Emily Price
- 9. Planning & Consultation Update - Hengrove Park Phase 1** 7.30 pm

Kier Living and Stride Treglown

- 10. Hengrove & Whitchurch Neighbourhood Planning Forum** 7.40 pm  
Alister Palmer

- 11. Consultation on Hengrove and Whitchurch Park Proposed Neighbourhood Planning Area and Neighbourhood Planning Forum (Pages 12 - 14)** 7.50 pm  
Sarah O'Driscoll

To note the consultation on the: Proposed Neighbourhood Planning Area and Neighbourhood Planning Forum for Hengrove & Whitchurch Park ward.

- 12. NP Budget Update (Pages 15 - 19)** 8.00 pm  
Ariaf Hussain

That the Neighbourhood Committee:

1. Notes the Budget
2. Discusses and confirms any changes (where appropriate)
3. Agrees any allocations to the delivery of the NP plan (where appropriate)
4. Agrees any budget requests (at the appropriate agenda item)

- 13. NP Budget Requests (Pages 20 - 24)** 8.10 pm  
Ariaf Hussain

Including Well Being, s106 & CiL

1. That the Neighbourhood Committee approves the recommendations from the Wellbeing Panel for the allocation of Wellbeing Funding - £2,650
2. That the Neighbourhood Committee approves the recommendation from s106 06/03970 / Tibbott Walk, Stockwood / ZCD...562 - £2,863.78 or from Wellbeing for - £2,000
3. To release the funds (£1,500) for the improvements to accessibility toilets at Christ Church Petherton Road

- 14. Highways Update 2016/17 (Pages 25 - 30)** 8.20 pm

Ariaf Hussain

To note the budget allocation for 2016/17

To note potential future traffic schemes (subject to discussions with Highways Team)

To confirm a meeting date for the Highways Sub Group to agree a future scheme

To note the Minor Works requests

**15. Police & Community Safety Report (Pages 31 - 65) 8.30 pm**

Inspector Nigel Colston

To note contents of the report.

**16. Neighbourhood Partnership Plan (Pages 66 - 83) 8.40 pm**

Ariaf Hussain

To discuss and amend the Neighbourhood Partnership Plan and agree and allocate the Neighbourhood Budget as appropriate.

**17. NP Coordinator Report (Pages 84 - 92) 9.00 pm**

Ariaf Hussain

To note the updates, provide comments and dates for diaries on the following:

1. Increased Capacity Bins in Hengrove Park
2. Proposed Community Asset Transfer - The Business Park, Rear of 13-19 Lampton Avenue
3. NP Resident Representatives Nomination and Elections:
4. Future dates

**18. Equalities Report (Pages 93 - 106) 9.10 pm**

Ariaf Hussain

That the Neighbourhood Partnership:  
Considers on-going improvement in engaging all its residents.

**19. Any Other Business 9.25 pm**

**20. For Information - Date of Next Meeting**

7pm, Monday 14<sup>th</sup> December 2016, Oasis John Williams Academy, Petherton Road,  
BS14 9BU

**Contact – The local Neighbourhood Partnership (NP) Coordinator is:**

Ariaf Hussain

Telephone : 0117 9223218

e-mail : [ariaf.hussain@bristol.gov.uk](mailto:ariaf.hussain@bristol.gov.uk)

**The Democratic Services Officer of the meeting is**

Norman Cornthwaite

Telephone : 0117 9222390

e-mail : [democratic.services@bristol.gov.uk](mailto:democratic.services@bristol.gov.uk)

## What is a Neighbourhood Partnership?

Neighbourhood Partnerships are the route to influence and improve services in the neighbourhood for residents, community organisations, service partners, and where local councillors make decisions about Bristol City Council business

## How do I get involved?

Anyone who lives or works in the area can get involved in this Neighbourhood Partnership by:

- **Attending this meeting and commenting on any item of business on the agenda.** Everyone is welcome to attend this meeting and contribute.
- **Submit a Public Forum statement** to the clerk to the meeting (contact details above) **no later than noon on the working day before the meeting.** The statement will, where possible, be sent directly to members of the Partnership, and be printed and circulated at the meeting.

## The Openness of Local Government Bodies Regulations 2014

Any person attending a meeting must, so far as is practicable, be afforded reasonable facilities for reporting. This includes filming, photographing or making an audio recording of the proceedings.

Members of the public should therefore be aware that they may be filmed by others attending the meeting and that this is not within the authority's control. Oral commentary is not permitted during the meeting as this would be disruptive.



## Stockwood and Hengrove and Whitchurch Park Neighbourhood Partnership 7.00 pm, 15 June 2016

### Present:

\* De-notes absent

### Ward Councillors

- Councillor Barry Clark, Hengrove and Whitchurch Park;
- \* Councillor Harriet Clough, Hengrove and Whitchurch Park;
- Councillor Steve Jones, Stockwood;
- \* Councillor Tim Kent, Hengrove and Whitchurch Park;
- Councillor Graham Morris, Stockwood;

### Partners

Representatives of people who live and work in the Neighbourhoods of XXX

- \* Norma Davies
- Peter Goodwin
- Robert Harris
- Barbara Kirby
- Phyllis Watkins
- \* Inspector Nigel Colston
- Trevor Hilliar
- Dave Reeve

### Other Interested parties:

Ian Sinclair  
Annette Sealy  
John Sealy

### Also in Attendance:-

Ariaf Hussain, Neighbourhood Partnership Co-ordinator  
Norman Cornthwaite

### 1. Chairing Arrangements (1)

Agreed – that Councillor Barry Clark be elected Chair for the duration of the Meeting.

### 2. Welcome and Introductions, Apologies for Absence (2)

Apologies were received from Councillors Harriett Clough and Tim Kent, and Inspector Nigel Colston.

### **3. Minutes of the last Meeting (3)**

Agreed – that the Minutes of the last Meeting of the N P are a correct record of the Meeting for signature by the Chair.

### **4. Declarations of Interest (4)**

Councillor Clark – Agenda Item 8a Hengrove Community Centre.

### **5. Public Forum (5)**

Nothing was received.

### **6. Equalities Monitoring (6)**

Each attendee completed an Equalities Monitoring Form.

### **7. Neighbourhood Partnership AGM report 2016/17 including 2015/16 Financial Statement (7)**

Ariaf Hussain introduced the report and summarised it for everyone.

N P Name – It was suggested that the name should describe the area rather than be simply the Ward names. However the general view was that the Ward names continue to be used for the name of the N P and this was agreed.

Membership and Chairing Arrangements – It was agreed that an election for Resident Representatives be held in September. It was also agreed that further discussions on the Chairing Arrangements take place at September N P Meeting.

Financial Operating Framework – It was agreed that all budgets be used across the whole area rather than splitting each between the two Wards. This will enable the N P to focus on its priorities.

Resolved – (1) that the name of the N P be confirmed as Stockwood and Hengrove and Whitchurch Park;

(2) that an election for Resident Representatives be held in September and that further discussions on the Chairing Arrangements take place at September N P Meeting;

(3) that the N C and N P Terms of Reference be confirmed and that all budgets be used across the whole area rather than splitting each between the two Wards;

(4) that the Devolved Neighbourhood Budget be noted;

(5) that the meeting schedule including sub groups and forums be noted; and

(6) that the financial statement and expenditure from 2015/16 be noted.

## **8. N P Budget 2016/17 (8)**

Ariaf Hussain introduced the report and summarised it for everyone.

He drew everyone's attention to Agenda Item 8a and the application from Hengrove Community Centre for £8,000.

Trevor Hilliar raised two issues:

1. The wording of the Agreement and BCC and whether it attaches any conditions to the spending of the money.
2. Whether or not the S106 money must be spent within a mile of the site.

Ariaf Hussain stated that he would look into these issues and report back to the N P.

Resolved – (1) that the Budget be noted;

(2) that no changes are required;

(3) that allocations to the delivery of the N P Plan be agreed where appropriate; and

(4) that the application from Hengrove Community Centre for £8,000 be approved.

## **9. Wellbeing Report (9)**

Ariaf Hussain introduced this report and summarised it for everyone.

In response to questions, Ian Sinclair explained that The ARK is a project run on a Friday for children from Reception to Year 6 and includes participation in creative arts and sports, etc. It is run to support local families and has 150 children on the books. This number is limited by staff numbers.

New Horizons is a new project aimed at older people especially those who are isolated. Transport is provided. It aims to stimulate them and help create friendships.

Ariaf Hussain drew everyone's attention to the two late applications.

Bridge Learning Campus – The applicant confirmed that the addresses of all families are recorded. Following a discussion it was agreed to fund £750.

Resolved – that the following amounts be granted:

Oasis Hub South Bristol - £500

Christ Church Stockwood The Servant - £1,500

Counterslip Baptist Church (The ARK) - £1,000

Counterslip Baptist Church (New Horizons) - £1,000

Bridge Learning Campus - £750



### **10 Highways Update 2015/16 (10)**

Ariaf Hussain introduced the report and summarised it for everyone.

Resolved – that the current position of the Local Traffic and Grant Funded highway schemes in Stockwood, Hengrove & Whitchurch Park be noted.

### **11 Highways and Minor Works 2016/17 (11)**

Ariaf Hussain introduced the report and summarised it for everyone.

He highlighted the problems relating to Walsh Avenue scheme. He suggested that he contacts that Highways Manager to discuss the problems with the scheme and possible remedial works. He also intended to invite the Highways Manager to the next Meeting of the N P. This was agreed.

Stockwood Lane – He explained that although there had been criticism of the scheme by local residents the scheme had been built as was required.

He announced that he would arranging a Meeting of the Highways Group in July/August to consider possible schemes.

Resolved – (1) that the allocation of the 2016/17 Highways Budget be confirmed;

(2) that potential future schemes be discussed at a Meeting of the Highways Group in July/August; and

(3) that the Minor Schemes requests be noted.

### **12 Neighbourhood Partnership Plan (12)**

Ariaf Hussain introduced the report and summarised it for everyone.

Resolved – (1) that the Neighbourhood Partnership further refine, improve and own the Plan; and

(2) that the Neighbourhood Partnership Plan be adopted following the input from the N P and other stakeholders.

### **13 N P Co-ordinator Report (13)**

Ariaf Hussain introduced the report and summarised it for everyone.

Concerns were raised about the clash of dates on 20<sup>th</sup> July 2016.

It was noted that an assessment of the parks and green spaces was required to identify improvements.

It was agreed that the N P become a Member of the Walking Alliance and that a request for a co-ordinator be circulated.

The details of the South Bristol Housing Zone were noted.

Resolved – that the updates and dates for diaries be noted.

**14 Police and Community Safety Report (14)**

Agreed – that the report be noted.

**15 A O B (15)**

There was none.

**16 Date of Next Meeting (16)**

Wednesday 28<sup>th</sup> September 2016 at 7.00 pm at Counterslip Church, Wells Road, BS14 9HT

Meeting ended at 9.20 pm.

Chair

<b>Amount of available Wellbeing grant:</b>				<b>£X,XXX</b>
<b>Name of organisation</b>	<b>What's the funding for? Brief summary of project</b>	<b>How much requested</b>	<b>Amount agreed?</b>	<b>Comments and Conditions</b>
XXXX	XXXXX	XXXX	XXX	<i>*insert information here*</i> <b>£xxx resolved by NC</b>
XXXX	XXXXX	XXXX	XXX	<i>*insert information here*</i> <b>£xxx resolved by NC</b>
<b>Amount of Wellbeing Grant remaining:</b>				<b>£XXXX</b>



# Introduction to South Bristol Housing Zone & Hengrove Park

Stockwood, Hengrove & Whitchurch Park Neighbourhood  
Partnership

28 September 2016

Page 12

Agenda Item 8



# City-wide priority:

*Build 2000 new homes – 800 affordable – a year by 2020*

Page 13

Mayor Marvin Rees

# Listening ....

Health

Education

Type of  
housing

Jobs

Housing  
densities

Size and  
function of  
the park

Access and  
Movement

St  
Bernadettes  
Old Boys  
Rugby Club

# Activity

	South Bristol Housing Zone (includes Hengrove Park 2)	Hengrove Phase 1 housing	Neighbourhood Planning
<b>Purpose</b>	Explore how we can develop allocated housing sites that are within Council's ownership.	Develop the site for c260 new homes	A community group is establishing themselves as a Neighbourhood Planning Forum to write their own policies for the area.
<b>Lead</b>	Bristol City Council and HCA (landowners)	Kier Living	Neighbourhood Planning Forum (to be designated after consultation)
<b>Current Status</b>	Feasibility phase	Pre-planning application and public consultation leading to planning application submission	Public consultation on boundary designation and Neighbourhood Planning Forum designation (end 28/10/16)
<b>Area</b>	Allocated sites include: Hengrove Park Phase 2; Former Hartcliffe Campus; Former Florence Brown School; Marksbury Road (HCA); Filwood Park (HCA); Whitehouse Centre; Kingswear.	Site south west of Hengrove Leisure Centre.	Hengrove and Whitchurch Park Ward boundary
<b>Timescale</b>	Feasibility study to complete by the end of 2016 Consultation to commence early 2017	Planning application to be submitted in Autumn and subject to planning to start on site in Summer 2017	Consultation on boundary now – 28 October. Further timescales to be determined by the community



Page 16

- Hengrove and Whitchurch Ward
- South Bristol Housing Zone Sites
- Hengrove Phase 1 Site

- 1 Marksbury Road College Site
- 2 Kingsweir and Torpoint
- 3 Former Florence Brown School
- 4 Filwood Park
- 5 Hengrove Park
- 6 Hengrove Phase 1 Site
- 7 Hartcliffe Campus
- 8 Land at Fulford Road

Not to Scale






# South Bristol Housing Zone Feasibility Study

Page 17

- Homes and Communities Agency funded study a high level strategic framework to inform future masterplanning &/or detailed design proposals for the development of sites in South Bristol Housing Zone
- a strategic framework for the priority sites of Hengrove Park and Hartcliffe Campus
- a viable financial model and delivery strategy



# South Bristol Housing Zone Feasibility study



Council Planning Policy adopted in 2014

## Local Plan Site Allocation: BSA1401 - Hengrove Park



- **50Ha** site allocated for **mix housing, offices, open space, small-scale retail**
- Estimated **1,000** new homes
- Secure **large, high quality park**
- **Coordinated approach** to delivery, guided by **community involvement**
- Integrate with **Phase 1** development & **existing play facilities**
- Maintain or **strengthen wildlife network** and incorporate **sustainable drainage** measures

Council Planning Policy adopted in 2014

# Local Plan Site Allocation: BSA1301 Hartcliffe Campus



- 8.5Ha site allocated for **housing & business** use
- Estimated **300 new homes**
- Maintain or **strengthen wildlife network**




Page 20





**Site orientation**

- 1 Hengrove Park Leisure Centre
- 2 South Bristol Community Hospital
- 3 Filwood Green Business Park
- 4 The Bottle Yard Studios
- 5 South Bristol Skills Academy
- 6 Bridge Learning Campus
- 7 The Mounds SNCI
- 8 Former runway
- 9 Play area
- 10 Rugby pitches
- 11 Temporary cycle facility

-  Hengrove Park and Hartcliffe Campus Local Plan Site Allocations
-  Hengrove Phase 1 Site
-  Metrobus routes





### Existing Landscape Features

- 1 Characterful landscape edge - mounding and mature tree planting
- 2 Former runway (heritage asset)
- 3 Hengrove Play Area and Skate Park
- 4 The Mounds - SNCI natural landscape
- 5 Woodland buffer
- 6 The Boulevard approach
- 7 Whitchurch Town and Village Green
- 8 Bamfield Green SNCI
- 9 Former running track - temporary cycle facility
- 10 Hawkfield Meadow SNCI
- 11 Hartcliffe Campus site - open mosaic habitat

# South Bristol

## Preliminary Spatial Concept



-  Existing local centre
-  Other retail focus
-  Developable sites/ Site Allocation area
-  South Bristol community hub (including existing hospital, leisure centre, college, cinema, play park, skate park)
-  New local street link
-  East/west strategic ped/cycle link
-  Existing strategic route
-  Existing highways
-  Existing street node with potential to simplify and redevelop
-  Important open space green infrastructure anchor (Protected from Development)
-  Bamfield edge retained as open space
-  Runway retained as open space
-  Green infrastructure links



## Next steps

- From now – end 2016: complete feasibility study
- Update through future Neighbourhood Partnership meetings
- Early 2017: commence public consultation

Page 24

## Further information and contact:

- Web page: [www.bristol.gov.uk/sbhz](http://www.bristol.gov.uk/sbhz)
- Email: [hengrovepark@bristol.gov.uk](mailto:hengrovepark@bristol.gov.uk) to join mailing list





# Major Projects Team

Abigail Stratford & Emily Price



**STOCKWOOD, HENGROVE & WHITCHURCH PARK  
NEIGHBOURHOOD PARTNERSHIP  
28<sup>TH</sup> SEPTEMBER 2016**

**Report of:** Sarah O'Driscoll, Strategic City Planning Manager

**Title:** Consultation on the proposed Neighbourhood (Planning) Area and a Neighbourhood (Planning) Forum

**Contact Telephone Number:** 0117 9036719

**RECOMMENDATIONS**

To note the consultation on the: Proposed Neighbourhood Planning Area and Neighbourhood Planning Forum for Hengrove & Whitchurch Park ward

**Hengrove and Whitchurch Park - Applications for designation of a Neighbourhood (Planning) Area and a Neighbourhood (Planning) Forum**

The Localism Act November 2011 allows community groups to apply for the designation of a Neighbourhood (Planning) Area and to apply to be designated as the Neighbourhood (Planning) Forum for that area. A designated Neighbourhood (Planning) Forum will then be able to prepare a Neighbourhood Development Plan or Neighbourhood Development Orders for the area. These documents will help to direct and encourage growth in housing, employment provision and the provision of facilities to support the community.

Should any Neighbourhood Development Plan be confirmed at a referendum it is then made part of the Development Plan for Bristol and will be used alongside the Bristol Local Plan to determine planning applications in the relevant Neighbourhood (Planning) Area.

**Applications have been received to designate the ward of Hengrove and Whitchurch Park as a Neighbourhood (Planning) Area and to designate**

**the Hengrove and Whitchurch Park Neighbourhood Planning Forum as the Neighbourhood (Planning) Forum for that area.**

**The Council is inviting comments on these applications for a 6 week period from 16<sup>th</sup> September 2016 to 28<sup>th</sup> October 2016.** All information relating to the applications and consultation is available on our Neighbourhood Planning web page at [www.bristol.gov.uk/neighbourhoodplanning](http://www.bristol.gov.uk/neighbourhoodplanning).

Alternatively, copies of the applications are also available to view in the following locations:

- City Hall

College Green  
Bristol BS3 9FS

Monday to Friday: 8:30am - 5:00pm

- Whitchurch Library

Oatlands Avenue  
Whitchurch  
Bristol BS14 0SX

Monday: 1pm to 5pm  
Tuesday: 10am to 2pm  
Wednesday: 1pm to 5pm  
Thursday: Closed  
Friday: 1pm to 5pm  
Saturday: 10am to 2pm

The deadline for responses is **Friday 28<sup>th</sup> October 2016**. Responses should be sent:

- By e-mail to: [blp@bristol.gov.uk](mailto:blp@bristol.gov.uk)
- By post to: **Strategic City Planning (CH)**, PO Box 3176, Bristol, BS3 9FS

Please note that if a Neighbourhood (Planning) Forum designation is made, no other organisation or body may be designated for that neighbourhood area until that designation expires (5 years from the date of designation) or is withdrawn.

For further information please contact Strategic City Planning on 0117 9036719 or email [blp@bristol.gov.uk](mailto:blp@bristol.gov.uk).

When councillors decide how the wellbeing fund is spent they should have due regard to the public sector equality duty that applies to all public bodies. This duty is contained in the Equality Act 2010 and came in to force on 6 April 2011. It replaces previous equality duties under the Sex Discrimination, Race Relations and Disability Discrimination Acts.

The duty means that councillors are required to have due regard to the need to:

- Eliminate unlawful discrimination, harassment and victimisation and other conduct prohibited under the Act
- Promote equality of opportunity between different groups
- Foster good relations between people from different groups

The duty covers the following protected characteristics:

- Disability, Sexual orientation, Age, Gender reassignment, Religion and belief; Sex, Race, Pregnancy and maternity.

It also applies to marriage and civil partnership, but only in respect of the requirement to eliminate discrimination and harassment.

# **Hengrove and Whitchurch Park**


Applications for designation of a  
Neighbourhood Planning Area and a  
Neighbourhood Planning Forum

Statutory Consultation

16<sup>th</sup> September to 28<sup>th</sup> October

Sarah O'Driscoll Strategic City Planning Manager





# Requirements of a Neighbourhood Planning Forum

- Further the social, economic and environmental well-being of the area
- Be open to residents, individuals who work there, elected members (no requirement to have a council member involved – but advisable)
- Have a minimum membership of 21
- Have a written constitution
- Be representative of the community
- Only one NDF for each neighbourhood area – on application by organisation only
- Statutory consultation period before designation




# What and Why

- **Localism Act 2011**
  - allows for **designated** community groups to prepare a formal Neighbourhood Development Plan for their area
- **Neighbourhood Development Plans**
  - help to direct and encourage **growth** in housing, employment provision and community facilities
- **NDPs must be**
  - consistent with the National Planning Policy Framework and in general conformity with the strategic policies of the Statutory Local Plan





# The statutory planning context.... or why it matters



# What can - and can't - be achieved in a Neighbourhood Development Plan

Can.....


- Work with the Council to identify housing and employment requirements
- Direct developers to the best sites for the community
- Encourage developers by sharing what will be welcomed by the community
- Identify what infrastructure the community needs



# Bristol Neighbourhood Development Plans September 2016

## 5 Designated NPAs

- Old Market – Made!
- Lawrence Weston – examination
- Lockleaze – First Draft at consultation
- Redcliffe – First Draft at consultation
- Knowle West .... Closed



# Old Market Quarter Neighbourhood Development Plan

First NDP to get through  
examination and referendum in  
Bristol

- Forum Designated May 2013
- Draft consultation Oct. 2013
- Submitted to Bristol City Council in February 2015
- Independent Examination June 2015
- Referendum February '16
- Made at Full Council March 2 September 2015



Old Market Quarter Future



# Statutory Consultation

## 16<sup>th</sup> September to 28<sup>th</sup> October

<https://www.bristol.gov.uk/planning-and-building-regulations/hengrove-and-whitchurch-park>

If you would like to comment on the applications the deadline for responses is Friday 28 October 2016.

Responses should be sent by:

e-mail: [blp@bristol.gov.uk](mailto:blp@bristol.gov.uk)

post: Strategic City Planning  
(CH), PO Box 3176, Bristol, BS3 9FS

Sarah O'Driscoll Strategic City Planning Manager





**STOCKWOOD, HENGROVE & WHITCHURCH PARK  
NEIGHBOURHOOD PARTNERSHIP  
28<sup>TH</sup> SEPTEMBER 2016**

**Report of:** Ariaf Hussain, Neighbourhood Partnership Coordinator

**Title:** Neighbourhood Budget Report

**Contact Telephone Number:** 0117 922 3218  
ariaf.hussain@bristol.gov.uk

**RECOMMENDATIONS**

That the Neighbourhood Committee:

1. Notes the Budget
2. Discusses and confirms any changes (where appropriate)
3. Agrees any allocations to the delivery of the NP plan (where appropriate)
4. Agrees any budget requests (at the appropriate agenda item)

1. The Neighbourhood Budget is composed of the devolved funding to the Neighbourhood Partnership.

2. Devolved s106 and CIL budgets - Please see Appendix 12a for the full breakdown of s106 and Appendix 12b for the full breakdown of CiL funding devolved to the Stockwood, Hengrove & Whitchurch Park Neighbourhood Partnership

3. The table below gives a breakdown of the Neighbourhood Budget allocation at the start of April 2016 and the current expenditure and funds remaining.

4. The Neighbourhood Committee is able to allocate funds between the existing budgets (where they are not restricted) and agree allocations towards the delivery of the NP Plan.

Budget	2016 -17 budget	Q1 allocation	Notes
Neighbourhood Budget	£45,608		Carried forward from Wellbeing, Clean and Green from previous years (£44,018) and 2016/17 Clean & Green (£1,500)
Local traffic schemes budget	£17,147		Currently allocated as follows: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Minor signs and lines - £1,643</li> <li>• Local Traffic Scheme - £15,500</li> </ul>
Wellbeing budget	£20,000	£5,290	
Highways (non ring-fenced)	£32,784		Unallocated from previous years (tbc by Highways)
Unallocated funds from Narrow Estates (non ring-fenced)	£13,170		2015/16 allocation - £10,484 2016/17 allocation - £2,686
S106 budgets	£2,853.47– no time limit  £142,422.40 – time limited	£8,000 (11/00765 / Former, Petherton Road Infant School, Hengrove / ZCD...A91)	See Devolved s106 sheet: appendix 12a  (some of this was allocated in 2014/15 and 2015/16)
Community Infrastructure Levy	£13,759.46		See CIL sheet: appendix 12b

### Budget Requests:

There is a request for £2,650 from the Wellbeing budget £2,863.78 from s106 budgets or an additional £2,000 from Wellbeing if the s106 is not approved.

Please see Agenda item 13 for full details.

There is a request to release £1,500 from the Neighbourhood budget. Please see Agenda item 13 for details.

There is a request from the Neighbourhood Budget of £1,245. Please see Agenda item 18 for full details.

## **Legal Information**

When councillors decide how the wellbeing fund is spent they should have due regard to the public sector equality duty that applies to all public bodies. This duty is contained in the Equality Act 2010 and came in to force on 6 April 2011. It replaces previous equality duties under the Sex Discrimination, Race Relations and Disability Discrimination Acts.

The duty means that councillors are required to have due regard to the need to:

- Eliminate unlawful discrimination, harassment and victimisation and other conduct prohibited under the Act
- Promote equality of opportunity between different groups
- Foster good relations between people from different groups

The duty covers the following protected characteristics:

- Disability, Sexual orientation, Age, Gender reassignment, Religion and belief; Sex, Race, Pregnancy and maternity.

It also applies to marriage and civil partnership, but only in respect of the requirement to eliminate discrimination and harassment.



Those rows highlighted in red denote monies that need to be prioritised due to time restrictions on spending.

<b>Hengrove, Stockwood and Whitchurch Park Neighbourhood Partnership</b>				
<b>Devolved Section 106 monies held as at 31 July 2016</b>				
<b>Permission / Site / S106 Code</b>	<b>Contact Officer</b>	<b>Current Contribution Value</b>	<b>Date to be Spent / Committed by</b>	<b>Purpose of Contribution</b>
<b>Parks</b>				
14/00417 / 82 to 84 Totshill Drive, Hartcliffe / ...SC17	Richard Ennion (Horticultural Services Manager)	<b>£2,325.19</b>	20 Oct 20	The provision and maintenance of Tree Planting either on-street or in public open space within a one mile radius of Totshill Drive
14/00416 / 83 to 85 Shortwood Road, Hartcliffe / ...SC18	Richard Ennion (Horticultural Services Manager)	<b>£1,555.60</b>	20 Oct 20	The provision and maintenance of Tree Planting either on-street or in public open space within a one mile radius of Shortwood Road
07/05332 / Community Hospital, Hengrove Park, Hengrove / ZCD...880	Richard Fletcher (Parks Operations Manager)	<b>£34,212.55</b>	10 Feb 15	Towards ecological mitigation measures in the vicinity of the development <b>(Funding Form completed and awaiting drawdown of funds)</b>
11/00765 / Former, Petherton Road Infant School, Hengrove / ZCD...A94	Richard Fletcher (Parks Operations Manager)	<b>£29,709.80</b>	22 Feb 18	The provision of improvements to Parks and Open Spaces within one mile of the former Petherton Road Infant School Site
11/00845 / Knowle Golf Club, Fairway, Brislington / ....SB97	Richard Fletcher (Parks Operations Manager)	<b>£9,564.09</b>	No Limit	The provision of improvements to Parks and Open Spaces within one mile of Fairway
<b>Transport</b>				
11/00765 / Former, Petherton Road Infant School, Hengrove / ZCD...A95	Ed Plowden (Sustainable Transport Manager)	<b>£41,194.98</b>	22 Feb 18	The provision of public transport improvements in the vicinity of the site, comprising £10,000 to GBBN measures on Wells Road, and the balance towards improvements to bus stops on Cadogan Road, Hengrove Lane and Walsh Avenue
08/03532 / Hengrove Leisure Centre, Hengrove Park, Hengrove / ZCD...896	Gareth Vaughan-Williams (Highway Services Manager)	<b>£3,796.95</b>	8 Apr 15	The provision of directional signage to be located on the Hengrove Park site
<b>Other</b>				
06/03970 / Tibbott Walk, Stockwood / ZCD...562	John Bos (Community Buildings Officer)	<b>£2,863.78</b>	No Limit	The provision of alternative community facilities in the Stockwood Area
11/00765 / Former, Petherton Road Infant School, Hengrove / ZCD...A91	John Bos (Community Buildings Officer)	<b>£14,732.88</b>	22 Feb 18	The provision of improvements to the Hengrove Community Centre, or a new community facility as decided by the local Neighbourhood Partnership
07/05332 / Community Hospital, Hengrove Park, Hengrove / ZCD...881	Vicky Smith (City Design Team Manager)	<b>£19,244.49</b>	10 Feb 15	The provision of Public Art in the vicinity of the development

## Hengrove, Stockwood & Whitchurch Park Neighbourhood Partnership CIL monies held - 31 July 2016

Monies to be spent on measures to support the development of the Neighbourhood  
Partnership's area, by funding:

- a) the provision, improvement, replacement, operation or maintenance of infrastructure; or  
b) anything else that is concerned with addressing the demands that development places on  
an area

<b>Date Received</b>	<b>Application</b>	<b>Site Address</b>	<b>Amount</b>
07/05/15	14/01962	Former Man in Space PH, Pynne Road, Stockwood (1)	£1,801.31
03/09/15	14/03719	Premier Inn, Hengrove Park, Hengrove (1)	£2,369.34
17/09/15	14/01962	Former Man in Space PH, Pynne Road, Stockwood (2)	£1,801.31
22/09/15	13/05883	Former Open Space, Loxton Square, Hengrove	£1,523.57
21/01/16	14/03719	Premier Inn, Hengrove Park, Hengrove (2)	£2,369.34
11/02/16	15/05709	620 Wells Road, Hengrove	£1,192.63
17/03/16	14/01962	Former Man in Space PH, Pynne Road, Stockwood (3)	£2,701.96
14/07/16	14/03719	Premier Inn, Hengrove Park, Hengrove (3)	£3,554.02
<b>Total</b>			<b>£17,313.48</b>



**STOCKWOOD, HENGROVE & WHITCHURCH PARK  
NEIGHBOURHOOD PARTNERSHIP  
15<sup>TH</sup> JUNE 2016**

**Report of:** Ariaf Hussain, Neighbourhood Partnership Coordinator

**Title:** Budget Allocation Report – Wellbeing and s106

**Contact Telephone Number:** 0117 922 3218  
ariaf.hussain@bristol.gov.uk

**RECOMMENDATIONS**

1. That the Neighbourhood Committee approves the recommendations from the Wellbeing Panel for the allocation of Wellbeing Funding - £2,650
2. That the Neighbourhood Committee approves the recommendation from s106 06/03970 / Tibbott Walk, Stockwood / ZCD...562 - £2,863.78 or from Wellbeing for - £2,000
3. To release the funds (£1,500) for the improvements to accessibility toilets at Christ Church Petherton Road

1. Stockwood, Hengrove & Whitchurch Park Neighbourhood Partnership has £14,710 available unallocated funding in the Wellbeing budget.

Ward	2016-17 available funds	Funds Allocated	Balance
Stockwood	£10,000	Jun/16: £3,020	(£6,980)
		Sep/16: £500 (tbc)	£6,480 (tbc)
		Dec/16: tbc	

		Mar/17: tbc	
Hengrove & Whitchurch Park	£10,000	Jun/16: £2,270  Sep/16: £2,150 (tbc)  Dec/16: tbc  Mar/17: tbc	(£7,730)  £5,580 (tbc)
<b>Total</b>	£20,000	Jun/16 £5,290  Sep/16 £2,650 (tbc)	£(14,710)  £12,060 (tbc)

2. The Wellbeing Panel met on 22<sup>nd</sup> November 2016 to review the applications/requests received as laid out in the table below:

**All Applications:**

Ward	Applicant	Amount applied for	Purpose	Amount of grant recommended
Hengrove & Whitchurch Park	Bristol Family Cycling Centre	£650	Metal Shed	£650
Hengrove & Whitchurch Park	LPW (Supported by Friends of Hartcliffe Millennium Green)	£2,000	Millennium Adventure Playspace	£1,000
	Oasis - Garden	£2,000	Peace Garden	Not recommended
	Oasis – Youth	£1,600	Youth Club	NP team to support to for resubmission
Both	St Bernadettes Rugby Club	£2,000	Training Equipment	£1,000
Stockwood	Sturminster And	£2,000.00	Dual Purpose Toilet	To be allocated from s106

	Stockwood Community Association			
			TOTAL	£2,650
			Funds Remaining	£12,060

3. The tables below give a breakdown of the applications by ward:

**Table 1: Stockwood applications**

	<b>Applicant</b>	<b>Amount applied for</b>	<b>Purpose</b>	<b>Amount of grant recommended</b>
	St Bernadettes Rugby Club	£1,000	Training Equipment	£500
	Sturminster And Stockwood Community Association	£2,000	Dual Purpose Toilet	To be allocated from s106
			TOTAL	<b>£500</b>
			Funds Remaining	£6,480

**Table 2: Hengrove & Whitchurch Park applications**

	<b>Applicant</b>	<b>Amount applied for</b>	<b>Purpose</b>	<b>Amount of grant recommended</b>
	Bristol Family Cycling Centre	£650	Metal Shed	£650
	LPW (Supported by Friends of Hartcliffe Millennium Green)	£2,000	Millennium Adventure Playspace	£1,000
	St Bernadettes Rugby Club	£1,000	Training Equipment	£500
			TOTAL	<b>£2,150</b>
			Funds Remaining	£5,580

4. At the panel meeting also it was put forward that the applicant from Sturminster & Stockwood Community Association be supported by s106 if possible.

5. The Community Buildings Team were subsequently approached and they

felt that if the applicant was to be supported from the s106 budget they would also recommend that accessibility improvements are made to the entrance and exit ways to the building. However I have been unable to discuss this with the Community Buildings Team Manager at the time of the papers being published.

6. It is suggested that the Neighbourhood Committee approves the recommendation from s106 06/03970 / Tibbott Walk, Stockwood / ZCD...562 - £2,863.78, for the dual purpose toilet and the improvements to entrance/exit ways to Sturminster and Stockwood Community Association, subject to a discussion with the Community Building Team Manager.

7. If there are difficulties in allocating the s106 funds, the recommendation from the Wellbeing panel is to support from the Wellbeing Fund.

8. In 2014/15 the NP approved £1,500 from Wellbeing as a contribution to accessible toilets at Christ Church on Petheron Road, subject to the funded chairs being installed.

9. I am happy to advise that the chairs have now been installed and request that the Neighbourhood Committee release the £1,500 for the contribution to the accessible toilets.

10. The next Wellbeing deadline for application is 11<sup>th</sup> November 2016, with the panel meeting for recommendations on 22<sup>nd</sup> November 2016 for discussion and agreement by the Neighbourhood Committee on 14<sup>th</sup> December 2016

## **Legal Information**

When councillors decide how the wellbeing fund is spent they should have due regard to the public sector equality duty that applies to all public bodies. This duty is contained in the Equality Act 2010 and came in to force on 6 April 2011. It replaces previous equality duties under the Sex Discrimination, Race Relations and Disability Discrimination Acts.

The duty means that councillors are required to have due regard to the need to:

- Eliminate unlawful discrimination, harassment and victimisation and other conduct prohibited under the Act
- Promote equality of opportunity between different groups
- Foster good relations between people from different groups

The duty covers the following protected characteristics:

- Disability, Sexual orientation, Age, Gender reassignment, Religion and belief; Sex, Race, Pregnancy and maternity.

It also applies to marriage and civil partnership, but only in respect of the requirement to eliminate discrimination and harassment.



**STOCKWOOD, HENGROVE & WHITCHURCH PARK  
NEIGHBOURHOOD PARTNERSHIP  
28<sup>TH</sup> SEPTEMBER 2016**

**Title:** Local Traffic Schemes & Minor Works 2016/17

**Report author:** Ariaf Hussain – Neighbourhood Partnership Coordinator

**RECOMMENDATION**

To note the budget allocation for 2016/17

To note potential future traffic schemes (subject to discussions with Highways Team)

To confirm a meeting date for the Highways Sub Group to agree a future scheme

To note the Minor Works requests

1. The Partnership has £17,143 to allocate towards minor lines and signs, a Local Traffic Scheme and Minor Works for 2016/17
2. Previously the Partnership has approved £1,500 for minor lines and signs (to be spent at officer's discretion) and £3,000 for minor works, with the remaining to be allocated to a local Traffic Scheme
3. In March 2016 the Partnership allocated the budget as follows for 2016/17:
  - Minor signs and lines - £1,643
  - Local Traffic Scheme - £15,500
  - Minor Works – to be allocated from the Neighbourhood Budget as schemes are identified through reports to the Partnership



4. The Partnership is required to identify a traffic scheme for delivery by highway for 2016/17
  - The partnership can only take forward one scheme for progress per financial year
  - It can identify additional schemes for future years
  - There is no restriction on the number of Minor Works that the Partnership can suggest. The issue would be the budget available for delivery of the Minor Works requests
5. Members of the Partnership met in October 2015 to discuss the Highways issues that have been raised and identified on the Traffic Choices web portal.
  - The Partnership has identified for recommendation future traffic schemes in the tables below (depending on budget availability)
  - The Partnership with the Highways Team has identified potential Minor Works raised with in Traffic Choices website up to November 2015.
  - Meetings have been held between the Neighbourhood Partnership Coordinator and the Highways Team to discuss the future Traffic Schemes.
  - The table below provides the initial comments from the Highway Team
6. The Neighbourhood Partnership Coordinator will organise a meeting for the Highways sub group to meet to discuss and agree a future scheme and any issues with current/existing schemes with the attendance of Highways Officers.
7. Minor works are measures that do not need significant design, consultation or legal work. Examples could include footway bollards, coloured surfacing, benches or dropped kerbs to aid pedestrian access. All requests will be reviewed by highway officers and reported for approval at the next Neighbourhood Partnership meeting.

## Future Traffic Schemes

No	Traffic Choices Ref No:	Request	Comments
1	403	Request for parking restrictions at Selden Rd	Possible Scheme
2	409	Request for pedestrian crossing on Cadogan Rd	Would be difficult to site a crossing
3	808	Request for Parking restrictions at Mile Walk, Hengrove	Not a public footpath, very short DYLS – what is the issue to be addressed
4	928	A37 Wells Rd - request for Pedestrian Crossing Signal	Costly scheme over £50k
5	994	Shared cycle/foot path - Sturminster Road	Potential to be part of Strategic Cycle Network
6	395	Parking issues and road safety concerns at Sturminster Rd	Full Scheme with 398
7	398	Request for yellow lines to be extended at Tibbott Walk	Full Scheme with 395
8	415	Vehicles parking on the Green at Cowling Drive	Not appropriate as a local traffic scheme
9	404	Request for adjustments to pedestrian island at Airport Rd	Potential to be done as part of Airport Road Cycle scheme – part of the Strategic Route

			Scheme in 2016/17
10	400	Request for yellow lines to be shortened at Hengrove Ave	To be rectified by Highways – not a traffic scheme
11	814	Request for DYLS at Cottle Rd (opposite driveways)	Minor signs & lines depending on clarification
12	1018	Request for DYLS - Long Eaton Drive	No accidents at this site – no scheme to be progressed

#### Schemes added from Minor Traffic Works to potential Full Schemes

1	397	Pedestrian improvements requested at Clatworthy Dr / Tarnock Ave	All these three issues are within the same locality – therefore potential as one scheme
4	989 (linked to 397)	Short/Missing footpath at Tarnock/Clatworthy Road	
5	992 (linked to 397 & 989)	Request for Give Way sign at Clatworthy/Tarnock Junction	
3	920	Hengrove Ave (access lane) - request for DYLS	

#### Issues from Existing Traffic Schemes

	Walsh Ave	A number of issues have been raised including the lack of DYLS at the speed bumps etc. Concerns that the schemes has not been implemented as per the design and issues that have arisen since the scheme has been delivered.	
--	-----------	--	--

	Stockwood Lane	Implementation of further works as identified through the consultation process	
<b>Scheme from Dundry View NP now in Hengrove &amp; Whitchurch Park ward</b>			
	Totshill Drive/Knightsbridge Park	Improve parking facilities - £10k allocated by Dundry View NP to take this forward	
	Footpaths between Whitchurch Lane, East Dundry Road, roads perpendicular to Court Farm Road (Woodmarsh and Yewcroft)	Alternative scheme to the one above (as a second priority if the above scheme cannot be delivered)	

### Requests for Minor Works

No	Traffic Choices Ref No:	Request
2	411	Request for dropped kerbs at Tibbot Rd and Craydon Rd
6	993	Request for barrier at St Bernadette Primary School, Gladstone Road
7	1004	Dropped kerb request - Stockwood

### Requests for Minor Works 2015/16

No	Location	Request	Approx. cost	Progress
1	Petherton Rd, Hengrove	Dropped kerbs	TBC	Decision required

2	Grass verge / footpath between Stockwood Road and Goslet Road, Stockwood	Series of bollards and fence	TBC	Decision required
3	Grass verge / Footpath at Charter Walk (between Great Hayles Road and Miles Walk), Hengrove	Series of bollards	TBC	Decision required
4	Tibbot Road / Sturminster Rd, Stockwood	Dropped kerbs	TBC	Decision required

### **Equalities impact assessment**

5. An Equalities Impact Relevance Check has been undertaken and determined that due to the fact that this decision has no impact on those with protected characteristics in the following ways a full equalities impact assessment is not required:
  - access to or participation in a service;
  - levels of representation in BCC workforce; or
  - reducing quality of life (i.e. health, education, standard of living)
6. Further Equalities Impact Relevance Checks will be undertaken during the development of each scheme so that the specific impact of that scheme can be considered as the detail evolves.



**STOCKWOOD, HENGROVE & WHITCHURCH PARK NEIGHBOURHOOD  
PARTNERSHIP**

**28<sup>TH</sup> SEPTEMBER 2016**

**Report of: Inspector 2302 Nigel Colston**  
**Title: POLICE & COMMUNITY SAFETY REPORT**  
**Officer presenting report: Inspector 2302 Nigel Colston**

**Recommendation:**

- a) To **note** contents of the report.

**General Update**

We have adopted the new beat team structure, basing our beat areas upon the new council wards. To enable a better sharing of workloads the previous five neighbourhood policing areas have now been consolidated into three (all still based at Broadbury Rd Police Station). These are Bristol South East (comprising of the Greater Brislington and Stockwood / Hengrove & Whitchurch Park Neighbourhood Partnership wards), Bristol South Central (comprising of Knowle, Filwood and Hartcliffe wards) and Bristol South West (basically Bishopsworth, Bedminster, Southville and Windmill Hill).

There have been some changes in terms of coverage of the Stockwood, Hengrove & Whitchurch Park wards, so I have summarised the current position below;

Sgts	Beat managers	PCSOs
	Gareth Hall	Andrew Phillips
	Gareth Ayers	Amber Vincent
	Vacant	James Young
Mike Johnson		Deborak Willock
	Graham Sharman	Andrew Gunton
	Ben Jefferies	Ray Kelly (leaving mid-Oct)
	John Glynn	Liam McCormack
		Tristan Reid
		Richard Higbey (mid-Oct)
		Mark Spure (mid-Oct)

Greater Brislington

Stockwood, Hengrove

Both

## Neighbourhood Data

### Bristol South East

---

Included Beats
BS109
BS132
BS133
BS134
BS135

Period ending: August 2016

Sections:

- 1: Front
- 2: Summary by Offence Type
- 3: Summary of Hate Crime
- 4: Summary of Anti-Social Behaviour (ASB)
- 5: Beat Overview
- 6: Area Overview by Offence Group

The data in this report are unaudited information extracted from live police databases and therefore may be subject to change, especially for recent data which includes open investigation.


Official crime figures are published by the Office for National Statistics as part of the Crime in England and Wales series. Street level crime information can be found on [www.police.uk](http://www.police.uk)

---




# Criminal Damage

The following chart shows a breakdown of offences by beat for the 12 months ending August 2016 and the 12 months prior to that:




In the 12 month period ending August 2016, a total of 620 incidents were recorded across the above beats, compared against 561 during the previous 12 month period, an increase of 10.52% (59 additional offences)

The following chart shows monthly breakdown for the previous 12 months by beat:




During the most recent 12 month period, 7.58% of incidents (n=47) have resulted in a positive outcome at time of writing




# Dwelling Burglary

The following chart shows a breakdown of offences by beat for the 12 months ending August 2016 and the 12 months prior to that:




In the 12 month period ending August 2016, a total of 267 incidents were recorded across the above beats, compared against 157 during the previous 12 month period, an increase of 70.06% (110 additional offences)

The following chart shows monthly breakdown for the previous 12 months by beat:




During the most recent 12 month period, 6.37% of incidents (n=17) have resulted in a positive outcome at time of writing




## Non Dwelling Burglary

The following chart shows a breakdown of offences by beat for the 12 months ending August 2016 and the 12 months prior to that:




In the 12 month period ending August 2016, a total of 195 incidents were recorded across the above beats, compared against 235 during the previous 12 month period, a decrease of 17.02% (40 fewer offences)

The following chart shows monthly breakdown for the previous 12 months by beat:




During the most recent 12 month period, 5.64% of incidents (n=11) have resulted in a positive outcome at time of writing




## Racially or Religiously Aggravated Crimes

The following chart shows a breakdown of offences by beat for the 12 months ending August 2016 and the 12 months prior to that:




In the 12 month period ending August 2016, a total of 54 incidents were recorded across the above beats, compared against 64 during the previous 12 month period, a decrease of 15.63% (10 fewer offences)

The following chart shows monthly breakdown for the previous 12 months by beat:




During the most recent 12 month period, 9.26% of incidents (n=5) have resulted in a positive outcome at time of writing




# Robbery

The following chart shows a breakdown of offences by beat for the 12 months ending August 2016 and the 12 months prior to that:




In the 12 month period ending August 2016, a total of 39 incidents were recorded across the above beats, compared against 25 during the previous 12 month period, an increase of 56% (14 additional offences)

The following chart shows monthly breakdown for the previous 12 months by beat:




During the most recent 12 month period, 17.95% of incidents (n=7) have resulted in a positive outcome at time of writing




## Sexual Offences

The following chart shows a breakdown of offences by beat for the 12 months ending August 2016 and the 12 months prior to that:




In the 12 month period ending August 2016, a total of 96 incidents were recorded across the above beats, compared against 67 during the previous 12 month period, an increase of 43.28% (29 additional offences)

The following chart shows monthly breakdown for the previous 12 months by beat:




During the most recent 12 month period, 3.13% of incidents (n=3) have resulted in a positive outcome at time of writing




## Shoplifting

The following chart shows a breakdown of offences by beat for the 12 months ending August 2016 and the 12 months prior to that:




In the 12 month period ending August 2016, a total of 520 incidents were recorded across the above beats, compared against 462 during the previous 12 month period, an increase of 12.55% (58 additional offences)

The following chart shows monthly breakdown for the previous 12 months by beat:




During the most recent 12 month period, 24.42% of incidents (n=127) have resulted in a positive outcome at time of writing




## Theft from the Person

The following chart shows a breakdown of offences by beat for the 12 months ending July 2016 and the 12 months prior to that:




In the 12 month period ending July 2016, a total of 12 incidents were recorded across the above beats, compared against 15 during the previous 12 month period, a decrease of 20% (3 fewer offences)

The following chart shows monthly breakdown for the previous 12 months by beat:




During the most recent 12 month period, % of incidents (n=) have resulted in a positive outcome at time of writing






## Theft from Vehicle

The following chart shows a breakdown of offences by beat for the 12 months ending August 2016 and the 12 months prior to that:




In the 12 month period ending August 2016, a total of 495 incidents were recorded across the above beats, compared against 349 during the previous 12 month period, an increase of 41.83% (146 additional offences)

The following chart shows monthly breakdown for the previous 12 months by beat:




During the most recent 12 month period, 0.81% of incidents (n=4) have resulted in a positive outcome at time of writing




## Theft of Motor Vehicle

The following chart shows a breakdown of offences by beat for the 12 months ending August 2016 and the 12 months prior to that:




In the 12 month period ending August 2016, a total of 116 incidents were recorded across the above beats, compared against 91 during the previous 12 month period, an increase of 27.47% (25 additional offences)

The following chart shows monthly breakdown for the previous 12 months by beat:




During the most recent 12 month period, 5.17% of incidents (n=6) have resulted in a positive outcome at time of writing




## Theft or Unauthorised Taking of a Pedal Cycle

The following chart shows a breakdown of offences by beat for the 12 months ending August 2016 and the 12 months prior to that:




In the 12 month period ending August 2016, a total of 72 incidents were recorded across the above beats, compared against 60 during the previous 12 month period, an increase of 20% (12 additional offences)

The following chart shows monthly breakdown for the previous 12 months by beat:




During the most recent 12 month period, 2.78% of incidents (n=2) have resulted in a positive outcome at time of writing




## Violence Against a Person with Injury

The following chart shows a breakdown of offences by beat for the 12 months ending August 2016 and the 12 months prior to that:




In the 12 month period ending August 2016, a total of 862 incidents were recorded across the above beats, compared against 586 during the previous 12 month period, an increase of 47.1% (276 additional offences)

The following chart shows monthly breakdown for the previous 12 months by beat:




During the most recent 12 month period, 10.9% of incidents (n=94) have resulted in a positive outcome at time of writing




## Violence Against a Person without Injury

The following chart shows a breakdown of offences by beat for the 12 months ending August 2016 and the 12 months prior to that:




In the 12 month period ending August 2016, a total of 1,000 incidents were recorded across the above beats, compared against 542 during the previous 12 month period, an increase of 84.5% (458 additional offences)

The following chart shows monthly breakdown for the previous 12 months by beat:




During the most recent 12 month period, 9.7% of incidents (n=97) have resulted in a positive outcome at time of writing




## Hate Crime Incidents


In the 12 months ending August 2016, 126 hate crimes were reported to police. This is a 23.53% increase compared against the previous 12 month period



The following chart shows a monthly overview of hate crimes by beat for the 12 month period ending August 2016:




In the 12 months ending August 2016, 5.56% of incidents (n=7) so far have resulted in a positive outcome




## ASB - ENVIRONMENTAL

In the 12 months ending August 2016, there have been a total of 118 incidents reported to police. This is a 49.37% increase compared against the previous 12 month period (39 additional incidents)




## ASB - NUISANCE

In the 12 months ending August 2016, there have been a total of 863 incidents reported to police. This is a 18.97% decline compared against the previous 12 month period (202 fewer incidents)



## ASB - PERSONAL

In the 12 months ending August 2016, there have been a total of 228 incidents reported to police. This is a 18.57% decline compared against the previous 12 month period (52 fewer incidents)







# BS135

In the 12 month period ending August 2016, there were around 1,006 offences recorded in BS135. This is an increase of 36.68% compared against the previous 12 month period

During this period, 38 hate crimes were reported




The following chart provides an overview of total offences by month in the beat over the past 24 months:




# BS135

In the most recent 12 month period, there were a total of 233 incidents of anti-social behaviour report in BS135. This is a 35.28% decline compared against the previous 12 month period




The following chart shows a monthly breakdown of ASB by type for the past 24 months




# BS134

In the 12 month period ending August 2016, there were around 1,443 offences recorded in BS134. This is an increase of 39.15% compared against the previous 12 month period

During this period, 31 hate crimes were reported




The following chart provides an overview of total offences by month in the beat over the past 24 months:




# BS134

In the most recent 12 month period, there were a total of 227 incidents of anti-social behaviour report in BS134. This is a 13.36% decline compared against the previous 12 month period



The following chart shows a monthly breakdown of ASB by type for the past 24 months



5.4

In the 12 month period ending , there were around offences recorded in . This is an increase of % compared against the previous 12 month period

During this period, hate crimes were reported


---

The following chart provides an overview of total offences by month in the beat over the past 24 months:

---

In the most recent 12 month period, there were a total of 1 incidents of anti-social behaviour report in . This is a 0% rise compared against the previous 12 month period

---



---


The following chart shows a monthly breakdown of ASB by type for the past 24 months

---


# BS132

In the 12 month period ending August 2016, there were around 1,300 offences recorded in BS132. This is an increase of 36.99% compared against the previous 12 month period

During this period, 30 hate crimes were reported




The following chart provides an overview of total offences by month in the beat over the past 24 months:




# BS132

In the most recent 12 month period, there were a total of 460 incidents of anti-social behaviour report in BS132. This is a 1.5% decline compared against the previous 12 month period



The following chart shows a monthly breakdown of ASB by type for the past 24 months





In the 12 month period ending , there were around offences recorded in . This is an increase of % compared against the previous 12 month period

During this period, hate crimes were reported


---

The following chart provides an overview of total offences by month in the beat over the past 24 months:

---

In the most recent 12 month period, there were a total of 1 incidents of anti-social behaviour report in . This is a % rise compared against the previous 12 month period

---



---


The following chart shows a monthly breakdown of ASB by type for the past 24 months

---


# BS133

In the 12 month period ending August 2016, there were around 874 offences recorded in BS133. This is an increase of 33.23% compared against the previous 12 month period

During this period, 24 hate crimes were reported




The following chart provides an overview of total offences by month in the beat over the past 24 months:




# BS133

In the most recent 12 month period, there were a total of 228 incidents of anti-social behaviour report in BS133. This is a 12.31% decline compared against the previous 12 month period



The following chart shows a monthly breakdown of ASB by type for the past 24 months




5.12


# BS109

In the 12 month period ending August 2016, there were around 583 offences recorded in BS109. This is an increase of 33.41% compared against the previous 12 month period

During this period, 3 hate crimes were reported




The following chart provides an overview of total offences by month in the beat over the past 24 months:




# BS109

In the most recent 12 month period, there were a total of 59 incidents of anti-social behaviour report in BS109. This is a 20.27% decline compared against the previous 12 month period



The following chart shows a monthly breakdown of ASB by type for the past 24 months



12 Months Ending August 2016

	BS109	BS132	BS133	BS134	BS135	Total
<b>Arson</b>	4	9	5	3	9	<b>30</b>
<b>Burglary</b>	39	100	68	117	138	<b>462</b>
<b>Crime Related Incident</b>	1	11	7	12	5	<b>36</b>
<b>Criminal Damage</b>	70	169	108	140	107	<b>594</b>
<b>Drug Offences</b>	8	25	21	22	20	<b>96</b>
<b>Fraud Forgery</b>		2	1	13	3	<b>19</b>
<b>Non Recordable</b>	5	11	5	9	5	<b>35</b>
<b>Other Offences</b>	9	26	17	23	16	<b>91</b>
<b>Robbery</b>	2	11	5	13	8	<b>39</b>
<b>Sexual Offences</b>	15	27	14	26	14	<b>96</b>
<b>Theft And Handling Stolen Goods</b>	235	377	273	626	270	<b>1,781</b>
<b>Violence Against The Person</b>	193	512	332	430	393	<b>1,860</b>
	2	20	18	9	18	<b>67</b>
<b>Total Crime</b>	<b>583</b>	<b>1,300</b>	<b>874</b>	<b>1,443</b>	<b>1,006</b>	<b>5,206</b>

(for incidents with no offence group are awaiting screening)

12 Months ending August 2015

	<b>BS109</b>	<b>BS132</b>	<b>BS133</b>	<b>BS134</b>	<b>BS135</b>	<b>Total</b>
<b>Arson</b>	7	8	1	4	5	<b>25</b>
<b>Burglary</b>	39	76	63	93	97	<b>368</b>
<b>Criminal Damage</b>	43	167	73	93	100	<b>476</b>
<b>Drug Offences</b>	11	14	20	23	19	<b>87</b>
<b>Fraud Forgery</b>		3	1	4	1	<b>9</b>
<b>Non Recordable</b>				1		<b>1</b>
<b>Other Offences</b>	6	15	14	14	19	<b>68</b>
<b>Robbery</b>	3	8	3	4	6	<b>24</b>
<b>Sexual Offences</b>	5	15	16	13	8	<b>57</b>
<b>Theft And Handling Stolen Goods</b>	150	339	201	444	211	<b>1,345</b>
<b>Violence Against The Person</b>	124	227	210	252	206	<b>1,019</b>
<b>Total Crime</b>	<b>388</b>	<b>872</b>	<b>602</b>	<b>945</b>	<b>672</b>	<b>3,479</b>





**STOCKWOOD, HENGROVE & WHITCHURCH PARK  
NEIGHBOURHOOD PARTNERSHIP  
28<sup>TH</sup> SEPTEMBER 2016**

**Report of:** Ariaf Hussain, Neighbourhood Partnership Coordinator

**Title:** Neighbourhood Partnership Plan

**Contact Telephone Number:** 0117 922 3218

ariaf.hussain@bristol.gov.uk

**Recommendations:**

1. To discuss and amend the Neighbourhood Partnership Plan and agree and allocate the Neighbourhood Budget as appropriate

**1. What is a Neighbourhood Partnership Plan?**

The Neighbourhood Partnership Plan is a three year plan. It sets out the priorities of the Neighbourhood Partnership over this time. As part of the process of making the plan 'real' the NP is asked to input into further refine and improve the plan.

**2. Purpose**

The plan:

- Identifies the partnerships aspirations for the area and some challenging goals;
- Contains all of the partnership's priorities and the evidence underpinning them in one document;
- Is clear about what the partnership has to do, and what the partnership can do;
- Directs the allocation of funding and resources managed by the Neighbourhood Partnership towards the achievement of partnership priorities;

- Guides the partnerships work over 3 years;
- Identifies where city level or additional support is needed to help the partnership achieve its priorities;
- Identifies where Neighbourhood Partnership alliances can be formed and resources shared to address common priorities;

### **3. Process used to develop the plan**

The Neighbourhood Partnership Plan is an amalgamation of all the plans that the Neighbourhood Partnership was working to previously. This includes the Waste Plan, the Parks Improvement Plan, the Safer Bristol Plan and Neighbourhood Working.

Evidence to guide and support the Plan has been gathered from the Quality of Life Survey 2013, the Census Data 2011, Police Crime Statistics, statistics from the Department of Work and Pensions and the public fora across the two wards. Issues raised at forums and working groups have also been used as evidence to support the priorities and activities.

The Neighbourhood Partnership Plan process for refining and improvement will be taken to Forum meetings and other engagement activities. Participants will have an opportunity to refine and improve the plan and highlight actions that they felt were important to them.

The Neighbourhood Partnership will own the plan and this meeting will further refine the plan to produce a quality guiding document that captures the needs of the Stockwood, Hengrove & Whitchurch Neighbourhood Partnership.

### **4. Resource allocation to the NP Plan (Appendix 16a)**

The aim of this exercise is for the Partnership to both discuss and amend the Plan and to allocate resources to the action plan either from the existing Neighbourhood Budget or aspirational as a commitment for future funds as they are realised.

Our vision is to make the Stockwood, Hengrove & Whitchurch Park Neighbourhood Partnerships (NP)  
area **clean, green, safe, healthy and prosperous**

Stockwood,  
Hengrove &  
Whitchurch  
Neighbourhood  
Partnership Plan

2015/16 - 2017/18

Theme	Priority (what we want)	by doing - local priority	activity/project detail	Order of priority
Page 92 Environment	Well used and managed parks and green spaces	Well maintained Parks and Open Spaces	Consult with the Environment Group and Parks/Open Spaces users to identify next round of improvements wanted for the parks and open spaces within the NP area  <b>Including</b> <b>Increase accessibility and mobility to/from and within parks and green spaces.</b> <b>Identify entrance and exit improvements - including restricting access to vehicles (Hengrove Park, Craydon Road and Stockwood Road)</b>	
		Increase play spaces	Play area for Hengrove in the vicinity of John Hall Close <b>(Petherton Gardens/Walsh Ave)</b>  <b>Cottle Road Play area improvements</b>	

Theme	Priority (what we want)	by doing - local priority	activity/project detail	Order of priority
		Better placement of bins in parks to target areas of greatest littering/waste	Parks Operations to plot current bins and allocate to area of great identified need	
	Clean and safe streets and public spaces	<b>Invest in trees</b> <b>Wildflower Seeding</b> Reduce fly-tip <b>Bins</b> <b>Planters</b>	Harden Road Car Park – Stockwood  Asda Whitchurch – Hengrove  NP environmental campaign to engage and educate	
		Reduce littering	Identify areas where littering is most in frequency  Support community led litter picks	
	Sustainability	To grow and use more locally grown food	Support Friends of Stockwood Open Spaces to identify locations where community growing can happen.	

Theme	Priority (what we want)	by doing - local priority	activity/project detail	Order of priority
			Apple Press event in October 2016	
	Protect grass verges	Reduce/stop cars from parking on grass verges	<p>Identify hot spot areas</p> <p>Prioritise one for the NP area</p> <p>Work with local residents, NO, Highway Enforcement, Street Scene and Police to engage, educate and enforce</p>	

Theme	Priority (what we want)	by doing - local priority	activity/project detail	Order of priority
<p style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Traffic and Transport</p>	<p>Good quality effective traffic schemes planned and brought in on time and within budget</p>	<p>2014-17 allocation for traffic schemes</p>	<p>Agree 2016/17 traffic scheme</p> <p>Ensure previous schemes are delivered</p> <p>Highway Sub Group Airport Road Parking VAS for: Stockwood Lane Hazelbury Road Sturminster Road</p>	
	<p>Improve pedestrian accessibility</p>	<p>Drop kerb programme</p>	<p>Island bus stop on Ladman Road (near Free Church)</p> <p>Up to 10 drop kerbs per year to be identified via community consultations and progressed</p>	
	<p>Safe roads</p>	<p>Slow down speeding vehicles</p>	<p>Promote 20mph scheme at Forums and at locations where greatest frequency of reports for speeding is occurring</p>	

Theme	Priority (what we want)	by doing - local priority	activity/project detail	Order of priority
			Promote and recruit local residents to Community Speed Watch Scheme  Funding for Speedwatch Schemes	
Page 96 <b>Crime and Community Safety</b>	Reduce crime and fear of crime	<b>Increasing community safety resilience</b>	<b>Match fund community safety schemes i.e. alley gates</b>	



Theme	Priority (what we want)	by doing - local priority	activity/project detail	Order of priority
		Better Lighting	Identify locations for lighting improvements	
<b>Housing, Planning and major projects</b>	Citywide and local schemes that impact on Hengrove & Stockwood wards	To work with stakeholders to encourage innovative development to ensure affordable housing and effective services that are sensitive to residents' needs	NP to influence community involvement and engagement with the Hengrove Park proposals  Influence s106 funded activity from developments being delivered that impact on the NP area	

Theme	Priority (what we want)	by doing - local priority	activity/project detail	Order of priority
		Ensure residents are informed and can participate in consultations	Arena Project  Temple Gate Development (Accessibility Information)  South Bristol Link Road	

Theme	Priority (what we want)	by doing - local priority	activity/project detail	Order of priority
<p style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Families, young people</p>	<p>Support for older people</p>	<p>More activities for older people</p>	<p>Research the existing activities that occur in the NP area for older people</p> <p>Work with Linkage to identify the activities as being requested by older people</p> <p>Communications (Newsletter/website)</p>	


	Support for young people	More activities for young people	<p>Compile list of Youth, Holiday, and Afterschool Activities</p> <p>Establish gap in activity/ provision (based on need and current level of provision)</p> <p>Young people and Young Peoples providers influencing the NP</p> <p>Communications (Newsletter/website)</p>	
<p>Page 100</p> <p>Employment, training and economic development</p>	Support local businesses and retail centres	Help businesses develop Town Centre process/approach	<p>Resources/funds for a local business forum and environmental improvements around Stockwood Shops</p>	
		Improve access to local shops within the NP area	Identify improvement to signage and parking at local business centres.	

Theme	Priority (what we want)	by doing - local priority	activity/project detail	Order of priority
<b>Equalities, community cohesion and active citizenship</b> <b>Put all extra community development and community engagement into this one (over the business as usual)</b>	Celebrate diversity and increase volunteering.	Helping and supporting existing community events	Support via the Wellbeing fund to a range of groups and organisations across the NP area	
		Support organisations that have volunteers	Establish a volunteers support network Share training, learning and networking opportunities available for volunteers	
		Promote local volunteering opportunities	Share volunteering opportunities at Forums and other community engagement activities	

Theme	Priority (what we want)	by doing - local priority	activity/project detail	Order of priority
		Ensure that the NP reflects the community it represents.	Help to recruit the hard to reach communities on to the NP activities.	
Community buildings and facilities	Increase the number or accessibility of community facilities	More and better community buildings & facilities.	Identify with Community Building team venues that require access improvements:  Sturmisnster Road Community centre – Accessible Toilets	
		More local activities (and information) available to all ages	Research and delivery of a Community Directory (building upon the work of the Older Peoples and Young Peoples activities research)	

TREES

# Stockwood Ward from May 2016



## Legend


Wards from May 2016

Polling Districts from May 2016






# Stockwood Ward from May 2016



## Legend

- Wards from May 2016
- Polling Districts from May 2016

Hengrove & Whitchurch Park Ward from May 2016





**STOCKWOOD, HENGROVE & WHITCHURCH PARK  
NEIGHBOURHOOD PARTNERSHIP  
28<sup>TH</sup> SEPTEMBER 2016**

**Report of:** Ariaf Hussain, Neighbourhood Partnership Coordinator,  
Neighbourhood Management

**Title:** Neighbourhood Partnership Coordinators' Update Report

**Contact:** 0117 922 3218 / [ariaf.hussain@bristol.gov.uk](mailto:ariaf.hussain@bristol.gov.uk)

**RECOMMENDATIONS – to note the updates, provide comments and dates for diaries on the following:**

- 1. Increased Capacity Bins in Hengrove Park**
- 2. Proposed Community Asset Transfer - The Business Park, Rear of 13-19 Lampton Avenue**
- 3. NP Resident Representatives Nomination and Elections:**
- 4. Future dates**

### **1. Increased Capacity Bins in Hengrove Park**

The report attached as Appendix 17a was presented to the Environment Group on 31<sup>st</sup> August 2016.

The report was produced following a site visit with a member of the Environment Group, Parks colleagues and the NP team.

At the Environment group a number of questions were raised:

- Could existing bins be left in place as well as new bins for extra capacity?
- Are other NPs being asked to fund new bins? Why are parks not funding this?
- Bin design- can litter section be bigger and recycling reduced?
- Approach other businesses to make a contribution to the waste bins

purchase and installation

The response from colleagues in Parks is as follows:

1. Existing litter bins are at a maximum capacity of 150 litres capacity; these bins are 360 litres capacity. They would not leave the existing bin in place.
2. Bins are being replaced when they need updating/replacing in other site across Bristol. Currently the bins in Hengrove Park do not need replacing. The request to replace these bins has come from the community.
3. The largest capacity bin that the Parks waste officer can collect from a location is 150 litres, the recycling bins provide a waste capacity of 120 litres and a recycling capacity of 240 litres, (120 litres each for plastic bottles and cans). This represents an increase of waste collection of 210 litres
4. The request is that the Neighbourhood Partnership reconsider the proposal and indicate what support they are able to provide to enable the installation of bins to address the issue of litter in Hengrove Park.
5. The Neighbourhood Partnership Team will approach other business to see if they are able to make a contribution to the purchase and installation costs of the waste bins
6. The Neighbourhood Partnership Team will continue to work with Parks colleagues to identify additional improvements such as increases in frequency of collections.

## **2. Proposed Community Asset Transfer**

New Lease of The Business Park, Rear of 13-19 Lampton Avenue, BS13 0PU to Hartcliffe & Witherwood Ventures.

### BACKGROUND:

- a. Lampton Avenue Business Park consists of 7 'enterprise' units, set in a yard area at the rear of the houses in Lampton Avenue, reached via a gated access road.
- b. The units were constructed in 1989 and are managed by HWV under a standard, commercial Lease at market rent.
  1. One unit provides accommodation to a small business tenant and the remaining 6 units are currently let by HWV to Bedminster Down School.
  2. HWV claim that, over the past few years, demand for small business units has reduced significantly and that they have therefore let the 6 vacant units to the School for 'The Lamp', the School's off-site provision for its students who are at risk of being excluded from education.

3. This educational use is contrary to the Lease between HWV and the council.
4. HWV will also need to regularise this new use in term of relevant Planning policies.
5. HWV initially asked the council to extend the lease by 25 years and reduce the annual rent to a peppercorn, to bring the property in line with other council-owned assets that are let to community-based organisations for small business purposes.
6. This request was turned down and HWV are now requesting a 10 year Lease.

#### PROPOSAL:

The council proposes to grant a new 10 year Lease to HWV, subject to any Planning irregularities being resolved.

The Lease will be subject to a Service Agreement, which will set out a range of services that HWV will deliver each year from the property.

The rent will be reduced to a peppercorn for as long as the Service Agreement is complied with; failure to comply with this would result in the full market rent becoming payable again.

HWV will be responsible for the upkeep of the property and for all outgoings associated with managing and operating it, including all repairs, maintenance and insurance; no financial support will be available from the council towards these costs.

#### PROCESS:

- The Stockwood, Hengrove and Whitchurch Neighbourhood Partnership is asked to make any comments on the proposals, either in support or against, and to briefly set out the grounds for its views.
- Officers in the Asset Strategy Team will then prepare a report for the Service Director Property, who will make a final decision on the proposed CAT as soon as possible.

For further information please contact:

John Bos: 0117 903 6440 or [john.bos@bristol.gov.uk](mailto:john.bos@bristol.gov.uk)

For more details about the CAT process please visit:

[www.bristol.gov.uk/cat](http://www.bristol.gov.uk/cat)

### **3. NP Resident Representatives Nomination and Elections:**

The Stockwood, Hengrove & Whitchurch Park Neighbourhood Partnership (NP) is a body that aims to improve the quality of life for residents living in the area. Comprising local councillors, resident reps and officers from Bristol City Council, and other agencies, it aims to find local solutions to local problems. This is an exciting time for NPs. The whole process is currently undergoing a review, which may result in substantially increased responsibilities and decision-making powers for NPs. The mayor has expressed his support for increasing the role of NPs in communities.

If you are interested in either standing yourself or nominating another resident (who you should check is willing to stand), please contact the NP Coordinator for further information and a full nomination and election pack.

### **4. Future Dates**

Please see Appendix 17b for future dates.

### Proposal for Provision of New Increased Capacity Bins in Hengrove Park

Following continued reports about litter in Hengrove Park, and complaints that specific bins reach capacity and overflow regularly, a site visit was carried out on 14<sup>th</sup> June, to discuss the issue and possible solutions.

There are currently single waste bins in a number of locations in Hengrove Park. Parks confirmed that bin collections in Hengrove Park take place 3 times a week, including a Monday collection following weekend activities including the car boot sale.

#### The Issue:

Two bins were identified as causing the most issues (see 1 and 2 on map below). The bin closest to the children's playground (1) reaches capacity and overflows, while bin 2 has been burned out, and as it is not a standard Bristol City Council bin, is not consistently included in collections.

#### The Proposal:

- To install **3 increased capacity (360litre) bins with recycling facilities**, in locations 1, 2 and 3. See image below.
- Single bin in location A to be moved to location B.

Increased bin capacity will in part address the issue of overflow without need for extra collections; however the neighbourhood partnership will also discuss the possibility of a weekend collection with the parks service manager, to reflect services elsewhere in South Bristol.

#### Cost:

The quote for the bins is £515 per unit, plus £200 approximately for installation. 3 bins would therefore cost a total of **£2145**.

#### Funding:

A local business has agreed to part fund the new bins, contributing a total of **£900** in 3 instalments of £300.

We propose that the Neighbourhood Partnership provides the remaining **£1245** required.


#### Next Steps:

- Seek approval of Hengrove and Stockwood Environment Subgroup (August 31<sup>st</sup> 2016) and Neighbourhood Partnership (28<sup>th</sup> September)
- Mobilise parks department to purchase and carry out installation of 3 bins and relocation of one bin, once approval and funding is secured

01/07/2016

- Bins to be purchased and installed Autumn 2016 (this will take 2-8 weeks once funding is secured depending on stock)





1:1,250

2012 aerial imagery © Blom Pictometry. 1946 aerial imagery © English Heritage. OS data © Crown Copyright 2015 100023406. Unauthorised reproduction infringes Crown Copyright and may lead to civil proceedings. Bristol City Council.



This visual is to be used as an indication of style and look only, the finished product may differ slightly.

**Stockwood, Hengrove & Whitchurch Park Neighbourhood  
Partnership**

**Meeting Dates 2016 – 2017:**

<b>Month</b>	<b>Meeting</b>	<b>Date</b>	<b>Time</b>	<b>Location</b>
June 2016	<b>NP</b>	<b>15/06/2016</b>	<b>7.00pm</b>	<b>Hengrove</b>
Jul 2016	Forum (H)	20/07/2016	7.00pm	Hengrove
	Forum (S)	21/07/2016	7.00pm	Stockwood
August 2016				
	Well Being Deadline	26/08/2016	12.00pm	n/a
	SHW Environment	31/08/2016	3.30pm	Hengrove
September 2016	Well Being Panel & NC/NP Briefing	06/09/2016	10.00am	Brunel House
	<b>NP</b>	<b>28/09/2016</b>	<b>7.00pm</b>	<b>Stockwood</b>
Oct 2016	Forum (H)	19/10/2016	7.00pm	Hengrove
	Forum (S)	20/10/2016	7.00pm	Stockwood
November 2016				
	Well Being Deadline	11/11/2016	12.00pm	n/a
	Well Being Panel & NC/NP Briefing	22/11/2016	10.00am	Brunel House
	SHW Environment	23/11/2016	3.30pm	Stockwood
December 2016	<b>NP</b>	<b>14/12/2016</b>	<b>7.00pm</b>	<b>Hengrove</b>
Jan 2017	Forum (H)	18/01/2017	7.00pm	Hengrove
	Forum (S)	19/01/2017	7.00pm	Stockwood
February 2017				
	Well Being Deadline	10/02/2017	12.00pm	n/a
	Well Being Panel & NC/NP Briefing	21/02/2017	10.00am	Brunel House
	SHW Environment	22/02/2017	7.00pm	Hengrove
March 2017	<b>NP</b>	<b>15/03/2017</b>	<b>7.00pm</b>	<b>Stockwood</b>

## Neighbourhood Partnerships Equalities review 2016

### Background information

Over the past 6 years the Neighbourhood Partnership Team has asked participants of Neighbourhood Partnership (NP) activities, to complete equalities questionnaires. However participants were often reluctant to complete the surveys, resulting in a very small sample size which provided very little real data.

To rectify this, it was decided from this year a concerted effort would be made to carry out the surveys over one quarter of the year. It was decided that this would be the first quarter of the financial year (April – June) each year. Participants were asked to complete equalities monitoring at every meeting they attended linked to the Neighbourhood Partnership work (including all working groups, Neighbourhood Forums, and other events and activities) during this period. Time was given within each meeting/activity to complete the form. The link to the online version of the questionnaire was embedded on all NP Facebook pages (however only 4 people returned them by this method). The result was 1531 completed equalities surveys carried out across the City, although not all NP areas achieved a great sample. On the whole the survey provided a good sense of who (demographically speaking) is taking part in Neighbourhood Partnership activities and where NP officers need to focus engagement in the future. During the same time period the NP officers engaged with residents in face to face instances; 6146 times. (See table below). This represents a 24.8% return rate from those who took part in NP activities across the City


	<b>total returned</b>	<b>total engaged during quarter face to face</b>	<b>% returned surveys as a % of those taking part</b>
<b>Ashley, Easton and Lawrence Hill</b>	93	1040	8.9%
<b>Avonmouth &amp; Lawrence Weston</b>	139	634	21.9%
<b>Bishopston, Cotham and Redland</b>	148	812	18.2%
<b>Central Cabot &amp; Harbourside</b>	37	210	17.6%
<b>Dundry View</b>	138	517	26.7%
<b>Filwood, Knowle &amp; Windmill Hill</b>	116	369	31.4%
<b>Greater Bedminster</b>	175	338	51.8%
<b>Greater Brislington</b>	55	132	41.7%
<b>Greater Fishponds</b>	51	943	5.4%
<b>Henbury, Brentry &amp; Southmead</b>	73	144	50.7%

<b>Stock Bishop, Westbury on Trym &amp; Henleaze</b>	151	396	38.1%
<b>Horfield &amp; Lockleaze</b>	175	401	43.6%
<b>St George</b>	52	149	34.9%
<b>Stockwood, Hengrove &amp; Whitchurch Park</b>	68	68	100.0%
<b>Overall</b>	1471	6153	23.9%

(59 people skipped the question asking them which NP area they lived in)

This report examines NPs' engagement with demographic communities compared with the 2011 Censuses. For some indicators the Census Measures are slightly different to the measures in the NP survey, however they are comparable and do provide measurable indication as to how NP engagement compares with City statistics.

The graph below indicates the number of people returning surveys per NP area. (Please note –the NP names have been abbreviated, the key with full names is at the end of the report)




### Activity trends.

People were asked what activity they were taking part in, across the City 68% of people were taking part in meetings, 11% participating in consultations, 10% were involved in environmental action, and 10% were taking part in a community event.

### Age profile participating in NP activities.


The graph below shows the age of those who take part in NP activities, compared to the census data for 16 to 44 year olds which is the nearest comparable data set

available). It clearly shows several NPs have an under representation of the under 50s age group. The NPs with a 10% or larger variance of under 50s compared with the census data are Bishopston Cotham and Redland (34% under 50s, in an area with 59% of people are aged between 16 and 44) Central, Clifton and Harbourside (22% under 50s in an area with 75% of people aged between 16 and 44), Filwood, Knowle and Windmill Hill (16% under 50 in an area of 45% aged between 16 and 44), Henbury, Brentry Southmead (21% under 50 in an area of 39% aged between 16 and 44) and St George (25% under 50 in an area with 44% aged between 16 and 44).



### Disability engagement.


Most NPs show that there is engagement with people with disabilities, several NPs engaging extremely well. These were Bishopston, Cotham and Redland (9.45% of surveys returned said that they were disabled whilst 3.6% suggested that they lived with a serious disability in the 2011 Censuses), Dundry View (22.4% of returns : 11% in the Census), Stockwood, Hengrove & Whitchurch Park (23.5% of returned surveys: 10.7% Censuses) and Stoke Bishop, Westbury on Trym and Henleaze (14.5% returned surveys: 6.6% Censuses).



However, work still needs to be done in Ashley, Easton and Lawrence Hill (3.2% returned surveys: 7.6% Censes), and Greater Bedminster Neighbourhood Partnerships (3.4% returned surveys: 8.4% Censes).

## Ethnicity engagement


The equalities survey asked people if they were, white British, other white background, Asian / Asian British, Black / African / Caribbean / Black British, Other ethnic groups, Prefer not to say. On the whole there are a disproportionate amount of people who said they were White British taking part in NP activities. The size of the sample did mean that it was difficult to get any real discussion re the individual BME break downs, in addition to this, in 8 of the NPs engagement with people who said that were 'white other' was proportionate to the Census data and to include this group in with the BME figures would have masked the comparisons with the Censes data for the BME population. For this reason this report looks at both the results from those that said they were white other, and the accumulative results of those who said that they were from another BME group.



According to the survey results – the NPs that are engaging proportionately with White non British residents are Bishopston Cotham and Redland (10% returned surveys: 7.4% Census) Dundry View (8.1% returned surveys: 2.2% Censes) Greater Bedminster (8.6% returned surveys: 7% Census) Greater Brislington (5.4% for both) Greater Fishponds (5.8% returned surveys: 5.2% Census) Stoke Bishop, Westbury on Trym and Henleaze (8.6% returned surveys: 5.2% Census) Horfield and Lockleaze with (6.3% returned surveys: 6.9% Census) and Central, Clifton and Harbourside (8.1% returned surveys: 9.7% Census).

This highlights the following NPs need to focus activities to engage with white non British people; Ashley Easton and Lawrence Hill (6.45% returned Surveys: 8.1% Censes), Avonmouth and Lawrence Weston (2.2% returned surveys: 5.6% Censes),

Filwood, Knowle and Windmill Hill (2.6% returned surveys: 6% Censes), Henbury, Brentry and Southmead (1.4% returned surveys: 6.9% Censes) St George (1.9% returned surveys: 5.8% Censes) and Stockwood, Hengrove and Whitchurch Park (1.8% returned surveys: 2.4% Censes)




The graph above shows a different picture, apart from in Greater Brislington which has engaged proportionately (9.1% returned surveys: 8.7% Census). In detail, Ashley Easton and Lawrence Hill (12.9% returned surveys: 43.8% Census), Avonmouth and Kingsweston (2.9% returned surveys: 6.8% Census), Bishopston Cotham and Redland (3.4% returned surveys: 10.2% Census), Dundry View (2.9% returned surveys: 4.3% Census), Filwood Knowle and Windmill Hill (0.9% returned surveys: 10.9% Census), Greater Bedminster (5.7% returned surveys: 7.7% Censes), Greater Fishponds (13.7% returned surveys: 25.5% Censes), Henbury, Brentry and Southmead (5.5% returned surveys: 14% Census) Stoke Bishop, Westbury on Trym and Henleaze (6% returned surveys: 8.3% Census), Horfield and Lockleaze (12.6% returned surveys: 24.3% Censes), St George (7.7% returned surveys: 14.7% Censes) and Stockwood, Hengrove and Whitchurch Park (0% returned surveys: 4.9% Censes) further work needs to be done to increase participation of BME residents.


### Gender engagement

The graphs below show the NP areas where there is an imbalance of engagement by gender during April 1<sup>st</sup> until 30<sup>th</sup> June 2016. Those NPs where men are not engaging equally are, Cabot, Central and Harbourside (43.2% returned surveys: 52.9% Census) Greater Bedminster (39.4% returned surveys: 50.2% Census), Henbury Brentry Southmead (38.3% returned surveys: 47.7% Census), Stoke Bishop, Westbury on Trym and Henleaze (39.7% returned surveys: 47.8% Census) and Greater Fishponds (31.4% returned surveys: 49.1% Census).





Neighbourhood Partnerships where women are proportionately less active are Filwood, Knowle and Windmill Hill (38.8% returned surveys: 51.1% Census), Avonmouth and Lawrence Weston (36.7% returned surveys: 51.6% Censuses), St George (40.4% returned surveys: 50.8% Censuses) and Stockwood, Hengrove and Whitchurch Park (41.2% returned surveys: 51.7% Censuses)



### Sexual orientation

At a national level there are no statistics regarding sexual orientation, Bristol City Council estimates that 6% of its population are from the LGBT community, and this will be the measure for this report. The numbers per NP who completed the survey were very small but over the City average, 5.09% of people were from the LGBT community

### Conclusions

This research, at a City level, has highlighted the need for improved engagement with some key equalities groups, these being BME, Young People (including the

under 40s) and LGBT communities. Over the coming months the Neighbourhood Management Team will be looking at its practices and ensuring that these groups are targeted via the NP engagement plans.

There also needs to be a conversation within NP's, about how this agenda is led in their neighbourhoods, as each NP area has different equalities groups that are underrepresented. NP's needs to discuss how they as individuals and as a group are going to address these anomalies, linking it into their NP Plans and their wider equalities work.

There will also be an equalities training session on the 22<sup>nd</sup> September for any NP Reps who have not already attended equalities training or would like a refresh. If this session gets booked up then a further session in October will be arranged.

### **Key to NP abbreviations**

AELH – Ashley Easton and Lawrence Hill

A&LW – Avonmouth and Lawrence Weston

BCR – Bishopston Cotham and Redland

CC&H- Central, Clifton and Harbourside

DV – Dundry View

FK&WH – Filwood, Knowle and Windmill Hill

Gbed- Greater Bedminster

Gbris – Greater Brislington

GF – Greater Fishponds

HB&S - Henbury, Brentry and Southmead

STBish, WoT&Hen – Stoke Bishop, Westbury on Trym and Henleaze

H&L – Horfield and Lockleaze

SG – St George

SH&W – Stockwood, Hengrove & Whitchurch Park

NB. The term, Black, Minority Ethnic (BME) has now been replaced in the UK with Black, Asian, Minority Ethnic (BAME), however because we asked people if they were BME in the equalities questionnaire, this report still uses the old term.

## Neighbourhood Partnerships Equalities review 2016

### Background information

Over the past 6 years the Neighbourhood Partnership Team has asked participants of Neighbourhood Partnership (NP) activities, to complete equalities questionnaires. However participants were often reluctant to complete the surveys, resulting in a very small sample size which provided very little real data.

To rectify this, it was decided from this year a concerted effort would be made to carry out the surveys over one quarter of the year. It was decided that this would be the first quarter of the financial year (April – June) each year. Participants were asked to complete equalities monitoring at every meeting they attended linked to the Neighbourhood Partnership work (including all working groups, Neighbourhood Forums, and other events and activities) during this period. Time was given within each meeting/activity to complete the form. The link to the online version of the questionnaire was embedded on all NP Facebook pages (however only 4 people returned them by this method). The result was 1531 completed equalities surveys carried out across the City, although not all NP areas achieved a great sample. On the whole the survey provided a good sense of who (demographically speaking) is taking part in Neighbourhood Partnership activities and where NP officers need to focus engagement in the future. During the same time period the NP officers engaged with residents in face to face instances; 6146 times. (See table below). This represents a 24.8% return rate from those who took part in NP activities across the City


	<b>total returned</b>	<b>total engaged during quarter face to face</b>	<b>% returned surveys as a % of those taking part</b>
<b>Ashley, Easton and Lawrence Hill</b>	93	1040	8.9%
<b>Avonmouth &amp; Lawrence Weston</b>	139	634	21.9%
<b>Bishopston, Cotham and Redland</b>	148	812	18.2%
<b>Central Cabot &amp; Harbourside</b>	37	210	17.6%
<b>Dundry View</b>	138	517	26.7%
<b>Filwood, Knowle &amp; Windmill Hill</b>	116	369	31.4%
<b>Greater Bedminster</b>	175	338	51.8%
<b>Greater Brislington</b>	55	132	41.7%
<b>Greater Fishponds</b>	51	943	5.4%
<b>Henbury, Brentry &amp; Southmead</b>	73	144	50.7%

<b>Stock Bishop, Westbury on Trym &amp; Henleaze</b>	151	396	38.1%
<b>Horfield &amp; Lockleaze</b>	175	401	43.6%
<b>St George</b>	52	149	34.9%
<b>Stockwood, Hengrove &amp; Whitchurch Park</b>	68	68	100.0%
<b>Overall</b>	1471	6153	23.9%

(59 people skipped the question asking them which NP area they lived in)

This report examines NPs' engagement with demographic communities compared with the 2011 Censuses. For some indicators the Census Measures are slightly different to the measures in the NP survey, however they are comparable and do provide measurable indication as to how NP engagement compares with City statistics.

The graph below indicates the number of people returning surveys per NP area. (Please note –the NP names have been abbreviated, the key with full names is at the end of the report)




### Activity trends.

People were asked what activity they were taking part in, across the City 68% of people were taking part in meetings, 11% participating in consultations, 10% were involved in environmental action, and 10% were taking part in a community event.

### Age profile participating in NP activities.


The graph below shows the age of those who take part in NP activities, compared to the census data for 16 to 44 year olds which is the nearest comparable data set

available). It clearly shows several NPs have an under representation of the under 50s age group. The NPs with a 10% or larger variance of under 50s compared with the census data are Bishopston Cotham and Redland (34% under 50s, in an area with 59% of people are aged between 16 and 44) Central, Clifton and Harbourside (22% under 50s in an area with 75% of people aged between 16 and 44), Filwood, Knowle and Windmill Hill (16% under 50 in an area of 45% aged between 16 and 44), Henbury, Brentry Southmead (21% under 50 in an area of 39% aged between 16 and 44) and St George (25% under 50 in an area with 44% aged between 16 and 44).



### Disability engagement.


Most NPs show that there is engagement with people with disabilities, several NPs engaging extremely well. These were Bishopston, Cotham and Redland (9.45% of surveys returned said that they were disabled whilst 3.6% suggested that they lived with a serious disability in the 2011 Censuses), Dundry View (22.4% of returns : 11% in the Census), Stockwood, Hengrove & Whitchurch Park (23.5% of returned surveys: 10.7% Censuses) and Stoke Bishop, Westbury on Trym and Henleaze (14.5% returned surveys: 6.6% Censuses).



However, work still needs to be done in Ashley, Easton and Lawrence Hill (3.2% returned surveys: 7.6% Censes), and Greater Bedminster Neighbourhood Partnerships (3.4% returned surveys: 8.4% Censes).

## Ethnicity engagement


The equalities survey asked people if they were, white British, other white background, Asian / Asian British, Black / African / Caribbean / Black British, Other ethnic groups, Prefer not to say. On the whole there are a disproportionate amount of people who said they were White British taking part in NP activities. The size of the sample did mean that it was difficult to get any real discussion re the individual BME break downs, in addition to this, in 8 of the NPs engagement with people who said that were 'white other' was proportionate to the Census data and to include this group in with the BME figures would have masked the comparisons with the Censes data for the BME population. For this reason this report looks at both the results from those that said they were white other, and the accumulative results of those who said that they were from another BME group.



According to the survey results – the NPs that are engaging proportionately with White non British residents are Bishopston Cotham and Redland (10% returned surveys: 7.4% Census) Dundry View (8.1% returned surveys: 2.2% Censes) Greater Bedminster (8.6% returned surveys: 7% Census) Greater Brislington (5.4% for both) Greater Fishponds (5.8% returned surveys: 5.2% Census) Stoke Bishop, Westbury on Trym and Henleaze (8.6% returned surveys: 5.2% Census) Horfield and Lockleaze with (6.3% returned surveys: 6.9% Census) and Central, Clifton and Harbourside (8.1% returned surveys: 9.7% Census).

This highlights the following NPs need to focus activities to engage with white non British people; Ashley Easton and Lawrence Hill (6.45% returned Surveys: 8.1% Censes), Avonmouth and Lawrence Weston (2.2% returned surveys: 5.6% Censes),


Filwood, Knowle and Windmill Hill (2.6% returned surveys: 6% Censes), Henbury, Brentry and Southmead (1.4% returned surveys: 6.9% Censes) St George (1.9% returned surveys: 5.8% Censes) and Stockwood, Hengrove and Whitchurch Park (1.8% returned surveys: 2.4% Censes)




The graph above shows a different picture, apart from in Greater Brislington which has engaged proportionately (9.1% returned surveys: 8.7% Census). In detail, Ashley Easton and Lawrence Hill (12.9% returned surveys: 43.8% Census), Avonmouth and Kingsweston (2.9% returned surveys: 6.8% Census), Bishopston Cotham and Redland (3.4% returned surveys: 10.2% Census), Dundry View (2.9% returned surveys: 4.3% Census), Filwood Knowle and Windmill Hill (0.9% returned surveys: 10.9% Census), Greater Bedminster (5.7% returned surveys: 7.7% Censes), Greater Fishponds (13.7% returned surveys: 25.5% Censes), Henbury, Brentry and Southmead (5.5% returned surveys: 14% Census) Stoke Bishop, Westbury on Trym and Henleaze (6% returned surveys: 8.3% Census), Horfield and Lockleaze (12.6% returned surveys: 24.3% Censes), St George (7.7% returned surveys: 14.7% Censes) and Stockwood, Hengrove and Whitchurch Park (0% returned surveys: 4.9% Censes) further work needs to be done to increase participation of BME residents.

## Gender engagement

The graphs below show the NP areas where there is an imbalance of engagement by gender during April 1<sup>st</sup> until 30<sup>th</sup> June 2016. Those NPs where men are not engaging equally are, Cabot, Central and Harbourside (43.2% returned surveys: 52.9% Census) Greater Bedminster (39.4% returned surveys: 50.2% Census), Henbury Brentry Southmead (38.3% returned surveys: 47.7% Census), Stoke Bishop, Westbury on Trym and Henleaze (39.7% returned surveys: 47.8% Census) and Greater Fishponds (31.4% returned surveys: 49.1% Census).



Neighbourhood Partnerships where women are proportionately less active are Filwood, Knowle and Windmill Hill (38.8% returned surveys: 51.1% Census), Avonmouth and Lawrence Weston (36.7% returned surveys: 51.6% Censes), St George (40.4% returned surveys:50.8% Censes) and Stockwood, Hengrove and Whitchurch Park (41.2% returned surveys:51.7% Censes)



**Sexual orientation**

At a national level there are no statistics regarding sexual orientation, Bristol City Council estimates that 6% of its population are from the LGBT community, and this will be the measure for this report. The numbers per NP who completed the survey were very small but over the City average, 5.09% of people were from the LGBT community

**Conclusions**

This research, at a City level, has highlighted the need for improved engagement with some key equalities groups, these being BME, Young People (including the



under 40s) and LGBT communities. Over the coming months the Neighbourhood Management Team will be looking at its practices and ensuring that these groups are targeted via the NP engagement plans.

There also needs to be a conversation within NP's, about how this agenda is led in their neighbourhoods, as each NP area has different equalities groups that are underrepresented. NP's needs to discuss how they as individuals and as a group are going to address these anomalies, linking it into their NP Plans and their wider equalities work.

There will also be an equalities training session on the 22<sup>nd</sup> September for any NP Reps who have not already attended equalities training or would like a refresh. If this session gets booked up then a further session in October will be arranged.

### **Key to NP abbreviations**

AELH – Ashley Easton and Lawrence Hill

A&LW – Avonmouth and Lawrence Weston

BCR – Bishopston Cotham and Redland

CC&H- Central, Clifton and Harbourside

DV – Dundry View

FK&WH – Filwood, Knowle and Windmill Hill

Gbed- Greater Bedminster

Gbris – Greater Brislington

GF – Greater Fishponds

HB&S - Henbury, Brentry and Southmead

STBish, WoT&Hen – Stoke Bishop, Westbury on Trym and Henleaze

H&L – Horfield and Lockleaze

SG – St George

SH&W – Stockwood, Hengrove & Whitchurch Park

NB. The term, Black, Minority Ethnic (BME) has now been replaced in the UK with Black, Asian, Minority Ethnic (BAME), however because we asked people if they were BME in the equalities questionnaire, this report still uses the old term.

Stockwood Hengrove and Whitchurch Park Neighbourhood Partnership

Report for meeting, 28<sup>th</sup> September 2016

## **BRISTOL WALKING ALLIANCE**

This note follows up on the decision taken at our June meeting to join the Bristol Walking Alliance.

Since then, I've attended three meetings of the Alliance, along with representatives of other neighbourhood partnerships, agencies, and residents' groups with an interest in improving Bristol's walking environment.

The website at [www.bristolwalkingalliance.org.uk](http://www.bristolwalkingalliance.org.uk) spells out what the alliance seeks to do and how it hopes to do it. Well worth a look.

Perhaps the most relevant matters for this NP meeting are:

[“Walkable Neighbourhoods” event](#) Saturday 15<sup>th</sup> October, 10 till 1 at the Triodos Bank basement space, Deanery Road.

Guest speakers will share updates on walking projects that are taking place across the city and we will explore ways to make our neighbourhoods more suited to pedestrians .

It's free, open to anyone with an interest in making walking in Bristol easier for all, and places can be booked through [Eventbrite](#)

### ['Bristol Bugbears' website](#)

This pilot project allows you to log relatively small – but fixable – problems encountered when walking or cycling. You can also 'agree' with those already logged. The pilot runs till the end of October, and there've not been many entries for our wards. So far. After that, there's funding to fix at least some of the problems, while the others will be noted for future action.

There are moves, too, to network ideas among Neighbourhood Partnerships; some have far more experience of tackling these issues than others.

Harrassed by huge hedges? Curbed by kerbstones? Progress prevented by parked cars? Terrified by tomatoes? Within this Partnership, I'd be glad to hear from any residents who'd like to share in improving the walking environment in our wards

Pete Goodwin  
[peteragoodwin@gmail.com](mailto:peteragoodwin@gmail.com)

